

**COMMISSION ON TECHNOLOGY**  
**Agenda Information/Action Item**  
**Meeting Date: September 24, 2010**

<i>Agenda Item:</i>	<i>Type of Action Requested:</i>
<u><b>SUPREME COURT RULE 124 ISSUES</b></u>	<input type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Request <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other

**FROM:**

*Mr. Stewart Bruner, AOC Information Technology Division*

**SUMMARY:**

Because AZTurboCourt is being constructed as a statewide e-filing system for all courts and all cases, it makes sense to simultaneously revise the authorizing Supreme Court Rule 124, Electronic Filing, Delivery, and Service of Documents, published in 2000, to codify the requirements for statewide e-filing. As currently written, SCR 124 contemplates a court-by-court or county-by county approach to e-filing authorized by the presiding judge rather than the unified, statewide approach the chief justice and COT have since directed.

The Rule 124 Revision Framers, represented by staff member Stewart Bruner, have been tasked with pursuing the potential revision of the rule, with the expectation that a formal Rule Petition would be filed by the January 2011 deadline. The draft, along with the related technical requirements in various sections within the Code of Judicial Administration, is still being created internally but will be shared with the State Bar, court leadership, and AJC standing committees prior to the filing of the formal rule petition in January.

Because of the complexity of the subject matter and relative closeness of the January deadline, potential exists that the turnaround time for review could be short. Certain policy issues would also benefit from discussion by COT prior to consideration by other AJC subcommittees. Additional comments will be collected via the rules petition process.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION:**

**ACTION OPTIONS:**

**NO MOTION – Information Only**